



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,738	11/04/2003	Goran Rydenfors	003301-094	6405	
21839	7590 11/30/2004		EXAMINER		
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404			DESAI, ANAND U		
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
			1653		
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Apr	olication No.	Applicant(s)				
08: A " 0	1	699,738	RYDENFORS, GORA				
Office Action Sumr	nary Exa	miner	Art Unit				
		nd U Desai, Ph.D.	1653				
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication appears	on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under th after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less t - If NO period for reply is specified above, the r - Failure to reply within the set or extended per Any reply received by the Office later than thr earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	DMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I of this communication. han thirty (30) days, a reply within nan thirty will, by statute, cause ee months after the mailing date or	n no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of thir y and will expire SIX (6) MON the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered tim JTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	nely. communication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communicati	on(s) filed on 04 Novem	ber 2004					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This actio						
3) Since this application is in c			ers prosecution as to th	ne merits is			
closed in accordance with the				io monto to			
Disposition of Claims		•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending	r in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	m consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed		m consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected							
7) Claim(s) is/are object							
8) Claim(s) are subject		tion requirement.					
Application Papers		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
•	An hardha Farania						
9) The specification is objected10) The drawing(s) filed on	-		h46 F				
			•				
Applicant may not request that Replacement drawing sheet(s)				NED 4 404(4)			
11) The oath or declaration is ob							
	jected to by the Examine	si. Note the attached	Office Action of form P	10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ No	ne of:						
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the 	priority documents have	e been received.					
Certified copies of the	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	copies of the priority do		received in this Nationa	l Stage			
	ternational Bureau (PC1	• • • •					
* See the attached detailed Offi	ce action for a list of the	certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing (Review (PTO-049)	4) L Interview S	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC	0-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040421</u> .	·	6) Other:	_•				
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Su	ımmary	Part of Paper No./Mail D	Date 20041122			

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file. The priority date is November 15, 2002.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on April 21, 2004 is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the dried collagen casing" in 3rd line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Suggest, "a non-edible dried collagen casing."
- 6. In claims 4, 10, 11, and 12, it is not clear what the "material having a good barrier properties" is describing. What is the material? What are considered to be good barrier properties?
- 7. Claim 5 recites the limitation "reinforced non-edible collagen casing" in 1st line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Suggest, "a non-edible dried collagen casing."

Art Unit: 1653

8. Claims 2-3, 5-9, and 13-16 are rejected for depending on a rejected claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sanchez, J. et al. EP1018301 A1 (Publication date=July 12, 2000). Sanchez, J. et al. teach a process for manufacturing a ready-to-stuff non-edible collagen casing. The process comprises soaking the non-edible collagen casing in an aqueous salt solution, which concentration is between 5% and 25% during a period of time between 2 seconds and 60 seconds (see page 9, claim 7, current application, claims 1, 2, and 10). The casing is subsequently vacuum packed (see page 10, claim 14, current application, claim 4). Sanchez, J. et al. also teaches the non-edible collagen casing (see page 9, claim 1, current application, claims 5, and 13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1653

12. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanchez, J. et al. EP1018301 A1 (Publication date=July 12, 2000) in view of Chandler et al. U.S. Patent 4,463,026 and Hauksson U.S. Patent 6,685,978 B1 (Effective filing date=April 4, 2000).

Sanchez, J. et al. teach a process for manufacturing a ready-to-stuff non-edible collagen casing. The process comprises soaking the non-edible collagen casing in an aqueous salt solution, which concentration is between 5% and 25% during a period of time between 2 seconds and 60 seconds (see page 9, claim 7). The casing is subsequently vacuum packed (see page 10, claim 14). Sanchez, J. et al. also teaches the non-edible collagen casing (see page 9, claim 1). Sanchez, J. et al. does not specifically disclose the use of an aqueous solution that contains at least two salts.

Chandler et al. teaches an aqueous solution suitable for wet curing of meat products. The aqueous solution includes a mixture of salts selected from the group consisting of sodium chloride and potassium chloride (see U.S. Patent '026, claim 1). Hauksson discloses equivalent functional characteristics of the salts, sodium chloride, potassium chloride, calcium chloride, sodium phosphate, and potassium phosphate (see U.S. Patent '978, claim 13). One would have been motivated to treat a non-edible collagen casing with an aqueous solution containing salts to manufacture a casing with the appropriate moisture content for stuffing. Therefore, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art to treat the non-edible collagen casing with an aqueous solution containing at least two salts and then vacuum pack the casing (current application, claims 1-16).

Art Unit: 1653

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anand U Desai, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon P. Weber can be reached on (517) 272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

November 26, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER